## "Ship-in-a-Bottle" Formation of Stable Hydrophobic Dimers of cis-Azobenzene and -Stilbene Derivatives in a Self-Assembled Coordination Nanocage
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Stabilization ${ }^{1}$ and activation ${ }^{2}$ of molecules enclathrated in the cavity of cage molecules have been topics of special current interest. A recent rapid progress in such molecular enclathration chemistry ${ }^{3}$ is partly the result of the development of the facile preparation of cage compounds by noncovalent synthesis exploiting hydrogen ${ }^{4}$ and coordination bonds. ${ }^{5}$ Transition metal-mediated self-assembly ${ }^{6,7}$ has recently allowed the highly effective construction of hollow, nanosized coordination cage $\mathbf{1}$ which en-

clathrates large neutral guest molecules at a fixed position of the cavity in a $1: 4$ host-guest ratio. ${ }^{8}$ Here we report the remarkable ability of cage $\mathbf{1}$ for the selective enclathration of "C-shaped"

[^0]

Figure 1. "Ship-in-a-bottle" formation of a hydrophobic dimer of cis-2 in the cavity of $\mathbf{1}$. The structure of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis-2 })_{2}$ complex is minimized. ${ }^{11}$
molecules such as cis-azobenzene and -stilbene derivatives. These guest molecules are enclathrated in the cavity through the "ship-in-a-bottle" formation of a hydrophobically interacted dimer with a topology reminiscent of Rebek's hydrogen-bonded "tennis ball" ${ }^{9}$ (eq 1). We also show that the hydrophobic dimer of azobenzene

derivative is considerably stabilized and does not undergo cistrans isomerization.
Selective dimer formation of cis-4,4'-dimethylazobenzene (2) in nanocage 1 was observed in the following experiment. When a saturated hexane solution ( 1 mL ) of $\mathbf{2}$ (cis-trans 1:6 mixture) was stirred with a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}(42.4 \mathrm{mM}, 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 0.5 h at room temperature, the NMR measurement of the aqueous phase showed the enclathration of only one isomer of $\mathbf{2}$, which was confirmed to have cis stereochemistry by NMR after being extracted with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Host-guest ratio (1:cis-2) was estimated to be $1: 2$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration and $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis-2 })_{2}$ complex was formed in $22 \%$ yield. ${ }^{10}$ The significant upfield shifting of the proton signals of cis-2 is consistent with the enclathration in the cavity of $1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}: \Delta \delta-2.6 ; \mathrm{ArH}: \Delta \delta-2.1\right.$ to -2.3$)$.

Noteworthy is that cis-2 is significantly stabilized by the enclathration. Thus, monitoring $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis-2 })_{2}$ complex by NMR displayed that cis-2 in the cavity would not isomerize to a trans isomer even after a few weeks under visible light at room temperature. Molecular modeling based on a force-field calculation ${ }^{11}$ suggested that the remarkable stabilization of the cis isomer was attributed to the formation of hydrophobic dimer of cis-2 in the cavity. A minimized $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis-2 })_{2}$ complex structure involves a spherical dimer of cis-2, which nicely fits the cavity of $\mathbf{1}$ (Figure 1).

[^1]An NMR NOE experiment strongly supported the hydrophobic dimer formation. When 4,4'-dimethylstilbene (3a, cis:trans $=6: 4$ mixture), an olefinic analogue of $\mathbf{2}$, was treated with $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the selective enclathration of cis-3a dimer was again observed. ${ }^{12}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis-3a })_{2}$ complex, strong NOEs (11-13\%)

were observed between the methyl and the other protons of cis3a. These NOEs must be correlated intermolecularly in the dimer because free cis-3a in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is silent in an NOE measurement. NOEs were also observed between the host and the guest protons in good accordance with the optimized host-guest geometry.
"Ship-in-a-bottle" assembly of the hydrophobic dimer in the cage is suggested by an NMR observation and molecular modeling. Dimerization of cis-2 prior to enclathration is unlikely because the dimension of the spherical dimer (ca $11 \AA$ in diameter) is larger than that of the window of $\mathbf{1}$ (ca $7 \AA$ in diameter).

[^2]Therefore, two guest molecules should be subsequently but not simultaneously enclathrated in the cavity and turned in situ into the stable hydrophobic dimer. The in situ formed spherical dimer is too large to be declathrated rapidly. Thus, free and complexed species are not averaged on the NMR time scale even at elevated temperatures. This slow enclathration-declathration is a sharp contrast to the behavior of other substituted aromatics whose free and complexed forms are averaged.

Nevertheless, a NOESY experiment showed cross-peaks between enclathrated cis-3a and free host $\mathbf{1}$. This result evidences the presence of the following equilibrium (eq 2) which is a slow exchange process between free and complexed host $\mathbf{1}$ within a relaxation time of $\mathbf{1}^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}^{*} \cdot(\text { cis }-\mathbf{3 a})_{2}+\mathbf{1} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{1}^{*}+\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis }-\mathbf{3 a})_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}^{*}$ indicates magnetically saturated $\mathbf{1}$.
Despite the presence of the slow-exchange process, however, intermediary $1: 1$ complex $\mathbf{1} \cdot($ cis- $\mathbf{3 a}$ ) was not observed by NMR. This fact reveals that a strong positive cooperative effect works in the enclathration of cis-3a leading to the selective formation of the stable hydrophobic dimer.

Interestingly, the dimer formation of unsubstituted azobenzene (4) was not observed. Although this guest was also enclathrated in a exclusively cis-selective fashion, simple 1:1 complexation was observed under the same conditions. ${ }^{14}$ This result suggests that, in the formation of $\mathbf{1 \bullet}(\text { cis-2 })_{2}$, efficient intermolecular CH- $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ interaction ${ }^{15}$ between methyl protons and aromatic rings is particularly important for the dimer formation. Enclathrated cis-4 was easily isomerized to the trans isomer even at room temperature in the dark ${ }^{16}$ in sharp contrast to the behavior of the cis-2 dimer.

Complexation with some related guest molecules is worthy of comment. Methoxy-substituted stilbene 3b gave remarkably stable dimer complex $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\text { cis- } \mathbf{3 b})_{2}$ in a quantitative yield. ${ }^{17}$ The spherical dimer structure of cis-3b, again confirmed by NOE, seems to be significantly stabilized by a host-guest charge-transfer interaction. Thus, on complexation of $\mathbf{3 b}$ with $\mathbf{1}$, the color of the solution immediately turned orange, and UV - vis absorption spectroscopy showed a strong charge-transfer band at $429 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{18}$ Due to the relatively strong host-guest interaction, the motion of the cis3b dimer in the cage is slow; guest signals are very broad around $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and become sharp at elevated temperatures. Surprisingly, even flexible ethylene derivative $\mathbf{5 a}$ gave dimer complex $\mathbf{1} \cdot(\mathbf{5 a})_{2},{ }^{19}$ which should be stabilized by the charge-transfer interaction between electron-deficient $\mathbf{1}$ and electron-rich 5a as supported by a charge-transfer band appearing at 400 nm . In contrast, less electron-rich 5b and less hydrophobic 5c did not give the dimer complexes.
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